

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/639,083	08/16/2000	Peter Hueniken	051481-5053	3067	
9629	7590 08/02/2002			•	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER		
_	SYLVANIA AVENUE NW TON, DC 20004 PRASAD, CHANDRIK			HANDRIKA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2839		
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2002	DATE MAILED: 08/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_				YU			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Acti n Summary		09/639,083		HUENIKEN ET AL				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Chandrika		2839				
Period for	Th MAILING DATE of this communication appropriately	p ars on the o	cover shet with the c	orrespondenc address				
A SHO THE M - Extens after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even ly within the statut will apply and will e. cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11.	July 2002 .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	his action is n	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except Ex parte Qu	for formal matters, pr a <i>yle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.				
	n of Claims							
*	Claim(s) $1-15$ is/are pending in the application							
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ (	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	quirement.					
Application	•	`						
,	he specification is objected to by the Examine		history to by the Ever	minor				
10)∟⊤	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce							
44) 🗆 T	Applicant may not request that any objection to the proposed drawing correction filed on							
11)[]	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			vou by the Examinan				
12)□ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
,—	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
•	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, p,	,	, ( , )				
, —	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been	received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bu	ority documei ureau (PCT F	nts have been receive Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage				
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list				n)			
-	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest				.,.			
a) 15)∐ A	☐ The translation of the foreign language pr cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121.				
Attachment(	•							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/639,083

Art Unit: 2839

4

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/11/02 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 6-10, 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mouissie (EP 0 415 489).

Mouissie (Figure 3) show a system for connecting a male member 1 to a female member 4 with both members displaceable along an axis lying in a plane, the male member having a pair of first projections 3 each with one end fixed to the male member and the other end resiliently movable relative to the male member and having a protrusion 9 at its tapered tip, and the female member having a pair of C-shaped grooves (not numbered) with cavities wherein the grooves are parallel to the axis,

Application/Control Number: 09/639,083

Art Unit: 2839

protrusions engage the cavities for latching the two members together and the resiliency of the projections absorb vibration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-5, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mouissie in view of Manning et al.

Mouissie shows all the features of these claims as described in Paragraph 3 above except second projections on the male member with faces engaging corresponding faces of the groove in the female member. Manning (Figures 1-7) shows a male member 24 having a housing 22 with a resilient first projection 96 which engages an aperture in a C-shaped groove 90 of a female member 26 and another projection 84 with faces engaging corresponding faces of groove 90 in the female member. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide second projections on the Mouissie male member as shown by Manning because this would provide an alignment means and prevent relative movement of the members in directions transverse to the mating direction as shown by Manning.

これを 通りで をを押し

Application/Control Number: 09/639,083

Art Unit: 2839

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Mouissie shows a pair of flexible latching projections on one member which engages a pair of grooves in a mating member.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kameyama also shows a pair of projections 35 on a one member which engage grooves on a mating member for alignment and prevention of lateral movement between two mating members. Such features commonly known as key and keyways are well known in the art of electrical connectors.
- 8. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 07/11/02 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 609(B)(2)(i). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/639,083

Art Unit: 2839

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad at (703) 308-0977.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached at (703) 308-3119. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9318 (general) and (703) 872-9319 for after-final.

Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1782.

Chandrika Prasad

July 23, 2002

BRIAN SIRCUS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800